## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

J-SQUARED TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a	)	
Canadian corporation, and J-SQUARE	)	
TECHNOLOGIES (OREGON) INC., an	)	
Oregon corporation,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	C.A. No. 04-CV-960-SLR
MOTOROLA, INC., a Delaware corporation.	)	
Defendant.	)	

## EXHIBIT E TO MOTOROLA'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO AMEND

## YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

## /s/ William W. Bowser

William W. Bowser (Bar I.D. 2239)

The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor

1000 West Street

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Telephone: (302) 571-6601; Facsimile: (302) 576-3282

wbowser@ycst.com OF COUNSEL:

Randy Papetti, Cory A. Talbot, Emily S. Cates

Lewis and Roca LLP

40 N. Central Avenue

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Telephone: (602) 262-5311

Attorneys for Defendant

Case 1:04-cv-00960-SLR Document 131-6 Filed 05	5/11/2006 Page 2 of 4
IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT	
J-SQUARED TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	)
a Canadian corporation, and J-SQUARED TECHNOLOGIES	) \
(OREGON), INC., an Oregon	) )
corporation,,	) UEU 2 & 2005
	)
Plaintiffs,	)
	)
vs.	) ) CA. No.
	)04-960-SLR
MOTOROLA, INC., a Delaware	)
corporation,	)
	)
Defendant.	)
	)
	1
DEPOSITION OF J	EANNE KOLASA
Phoenix, A December 7	
9:30 A	
]	<del>-</del>
•	
REPORTED BY: JUDI SCHNEIDER	
Certified Reporter	
Certificate No. 50735	
PREPARED FOR:	
SEAN J. BELLEW	
Attorney at Law	COPY

Page 77

- 1 what do you think their reaction would've been had they been
- 2 notified at some point in November of '03 that Motorola's
- 3 long term strategy no longer involved reps?
- 4 MR. TALBOT: Object to form.
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Okay. Flashing back to the beginning of your
- 7 negotiations with C&S and J-Squared Canada. What was
- 8 Motorola's contemplation at that time as to how long these
- 9 rep relationships would last?
- 10 A. I don't know. I know that -- I know that we --
- 11 that there was a specific corporate driven requirement not
- 12 to include what we -- what sometimes is known as an
- 13 evergreen clause. That we have -- that we had a corporate
- 14 directive to put a situation in place that upon a year's --
- 15 upon a year into the contract, a mutual agreement to move
- 16 forward would be required.
- 17 Q. Okay. We'll get to that. That's G-9 policy, is
- 18 it?
- 19 A. No, that's not the G-9 policy.
- Q. Okay. What's the G-9 policy?
- 21 A. G-9 policy is a due diligence review of
- 22 anybody -- any third party we partner with to make sure
- 23 they're financially viable. That we're not entering into a
- 24 situation with a company that has legal issues, liability
- 25 issues, bankruptcy issues, things like that.

Page 78

- 1 Q. So this company policy regarding the
- 2 non-automatic renewal, non-evergreen provision, where is
- 3 that set out?
- 4 A. It would be a corporate policy.
- 5 Q. Is it a written corporate policy?
- A. I believe so, but I couldn't tell you where you
- 7 could go find it. I don't know. That was -- came from our
- 8 legal team.
- 9 Q. Are you familiar with the G-9 policy?
- 10 A. Somewhat. I mean I was four years ago or
- 11 whenever it was that we worked on these.
- 12 Q. Was that policy ever provided to anybody outside
- 13 of Motorola?
- 14 A. Yes. It was -- the reason we needed G-9 review
- 15 and code of ethics and code of conduct were three Motorola
- 16 documents that were given to every rep we engaged with for
- 17 them to review and agree to.
- 18 Q. Did that have something to do with military
- 19 contracts?
- 20 A. That's part of it. That's part of it.
- Q. And what was that, required by your military
- 22 customers?
- 23 A. We don't, as a policy, work directly with the
- 24 military.
- 25 Q. Ms. Kolasa, getting back to your -- our